arXiv:math/0404431v2 [math.NT] 3 May 2010

GENERALISED EULER CHARACTERISTICS OF SELMER GROUPS

SARAH LIVIA ZERBES

Abstract. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over a number field F, and let p be a prime ≥ 5. In this paper we study the structure of the Selmer group of E over p-adic Lie extensions F_∞ of F. In particular, under certain global and local conditions on F_∞ we relate the generalised $\mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$-Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ to the generalised Euler characteristic of the Selmer group over the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$- extension of F. This invariant generalises the classical Euler characteristic to the case when $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E(F) > 0$. Moreover, we show that the global and local conditions on F_∞ are satisfied for a large class of p-adic Lie extensions of F.

Contents

1. Introduction 2
1.1. Statement of the main results 2
1.2. Notation 4
2. Preliminaries 4
2.1. The Selmer group 4
2.2. The category $\mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$ 5
2.3. Generalised Euler characteristics 6
2.4. The large Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ 9
3. Local results 10
3.1. Local Cohomology 10
3.2. Analysis of the local restriction maps 11
4. Global results 12
4.1. Global cohomology 12
4.2. Calculation of $\chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H\big)$ 12
4.3. Calculation of $\chi\big(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)\big)$ 13
4.4. Example 15
5. Global and local finiteness conditions 16
5.1. The general case 16
5.2. A special case 18
References 22

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11R23 (primary), 11R34 (secondary).
The author is supported by EPSRC Postdoctoral Fellowship EP/F043007/1.

1. Introduction

1.1. **Statement of the main results.** The study of the Euler characteristics of Selmer groups of motives over p-adic Lie extensions is a first step towards understanding exact formulae in non-commutative Iwasawa theory. The aim of the present paper is to generalise the results in [21], in which the motive in question is an elliptic curve of rank zero, to the case of general rank. The results are part of the author's Cambridge PhD thesis [22].

Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ and p any prime number ≥ 5. Write F^{cyc} for the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F, and put $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(F^{\mathrm{cyc}}/F)$. Let F_∞ be a Galois extension of F, and write $\Sigma = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$. We shall assume throughout that F_∞/F is an *admissible* p-adic Lie extension, by which we mean that it satisfies: (i) Σ is a p-adic Lie group, (ii) the extension F_∞ is unramified outside a finite set of primes of F, (iii) $F_\infty \supset F^{\mathrm{cyc}}$, and (iv) Σ has no element of order p. Such admissible p-adic Lie extensions are omnipresent in in arithmetic geometry. For example, if V is a finite dimensional vector space over $\mathbb{Q}_p$ of dimension n on which $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ acts continuously, then the splitting field $F_\infty = F_\infty(V)$ is such an admissible p-adic Lie extension under rather mild hypotheses, e.g. (i) V is unramified outside a finite set of primes of F, (ii) the determinant of F s some power of the cyclotomic character giving the action of $\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ on the group μ_{p^∞} of p-power roots of unity, and (iii) $p > n+1$ with $n \geq 2$. By a basic result of Lazard [14] and Serre [18], Σ has finite p-cohomological dimension, which is equal to its dimension d as a p-adic Lie group. If X is any discrete p-primary Σ-module, we say that X has finite Σ-Euler characteristic if $H^i(\Sigma, X)$ is finite for all i, and when this is the case, then we define

$$\chi(\Sigma, X) = \prod_{i \geq 0} \left(\# H^i(\Sigma, X)\right)^{(-1)^i}$$

Now let E be an elliptic curve defined over F, and write $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ for the classical Selmer group of E over F_∞, endowed with its natural left action of Σ. Assume for the rest of the paper that E is ordinary at p in the sense that E has good ordinary reduction at all places v of F divising p. Our results depend on the analogue of Mazur's conjecture for the extension F_∞/F which is made in [2] and which we now explain. For any compact p-adic Lie group G, write $\Lambda(G) = \mathbb{Z}_p[[G]]$ for the Iwasawa algebra of G (see Section 1.2). Put $H = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F^{\mathrm{cyc}})$, and let $\mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$ denote the category of all finitely generated $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-modules M such that $M/M(p)$, where $M(p)$ denotes the p-primary submodule of M, is a finitely generated $\Lambda(H)$-module. Let

$$\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) = \mathrm{Hom}\left(\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p\right),$$

endowed with its natural left $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-module structure. Then it is conjectured in [2], and proven in some special cases, that we always have $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$.

The essential change of hypotheses from our previous paper [21] is that we now assume that we no longer assume that the group $E(F)$ of F-rational points on E is finite (but we do assume that the p-primary subgroup $Ш(E/F)(p)$ of the Tate-Shafarevich group of E over F is finite). Thus it is no longer true that $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ has finite Σ-Euler characteristic once $E(F)$ is infinite. Instead, we have to consider the generalised Σ-Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ (see Section 2.3), whose definition depends essentially on our hypothesis that $F^{\mathrm{cyc}} \subset F_\infty$. We can also consider the generalised Γ-Euler characteristic of E over F^{cyc}, whose value has been computed by the fundamental work of Perrin-Riou [15] and Schneider [17]. In order to relate these two generalised Euler characteristics, we have to impose the following two hypotheses on the extension F_∞ over F:

- (Fin_{glob}) $H^i(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.
- (Fin_{loc}) For any prime v of F dividing p, $H^i(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.

We discuss below a general class of admissible p-adic Lie extensions for which (Fin_{glob}) and (Fin_{loc}) will be proven to hold.

Theorem 1.1. *Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, E an elliptic curve defined over F and p a prime ≥ 5. Let F_∞ be an admissible p-adic Lie extension of F with Galois group $\Sigma = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$. Assume that (i) $\text{Ш}(E/F)(p)$ is finite, (ii) E is ordinary at p and (iii) $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$. Also, assume that both (Fin_{glob}) and (Fin_{loc}) hold. Then $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic if and only if $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})$ has finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic, and if this is the case, then*

$$\chi\big(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)\big) = \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})\big) \times \Big| \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}} L_v(E,1) \Big|_p . \tag{1}$$

Here, $\mathfrak{M}$ is the set of primes of F not dividing p whose inertia group in Σ is infinite, and for a prime $v \in \mathfrak{M}$, $L_v(E,s)$ denotes the Euler factor of E at v.

Remark. If $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F)$ is finite, then as shown in [6], $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is a $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion module and $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})$ has finite Γ-Euler characteristic. Also, the assumption that $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$ implies that $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty)$ is $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-torsion (c.f. Proposition 2.4) and $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion (c.f. Lemma 2.6), so Theorem 1.1 is indeed a generalisation of Theorem 1 in [21].

We show in Section 5 below that the conditions (Fin_{glob}) and (Fin_{loc}) are satisfied for a large class of p-adic Lie extensions of F. Assume that F_∞ is the fixed field of the kernel of some continuous representation

$$\rho : \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F) \longrightarrow V$$

of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on some finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space V. For a prime v of F dividing p, denote by ρ_v the restriction of ρ to $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$. Let $H = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F^{cyc})$, and denote by $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$ its Lie algebra.

Theorem 1.2. *[(i)]*

1. *If $\mathrm{Lie}\, H$ is reductive, then (Fin_{glob}) is satisfied.*
2. *Suppose that for every prime v of F dividing p, the representation ρ_v is de Rham and the eigenvalues of Frobenius on*

$$(V \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathbb{B}_{st})^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)}$$

are Weil numbers of the same parity (c.f. Section 5). Here, $\mathbb{B}_{st}$ denotes Fontaine's ring of periods. Then (Fin_{loc}) is satisfied.

Acknowledgements: I am very grateful to John Coates for his support and encouragement during my PhD and for his interest in my work. I also thank David Loeffler and the referee for their careful reading of the manuscript.

1.2. **Notation.** For a field F, which will always be a finite extension of either $\mathbb{Q}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_l$ for some finite prime l, we denote by $\bar{F}$ its algebraic closure. Given a finite set S of primes of F, let F_S be the maximal extension of F contained in $\bar{F}$ which is unramified outside S. For an extension L of F contained in F_S, we write $G_S(L)$ for the Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(F_S/L)$.

For an odd prime p, denote by μ_{p^∞} the group of p-power roots of unity of $\bar{F}$. Let F^{cyc} be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F, which is the unique $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F contained in $F(\mu_{p^\infty})$. Denote the Galois group of the extension F^{cyc}/F by Γ.

If G is a compact p-adic Lie group, define its Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(G)$ to be the completed group ring

$$\Lambda(G) = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p[G/U],$$

where U runs over all open normal subgroups of G.

For an abelian group M and $m \in \mathbb{N}$, let M_m be the subgroup of M whose elements are annihilated by m. Given a prime p, define the p-Tate module of M to be the inverse limit

$$T_pM = \varprojlim M_{p^n}.$$

If M is p-primary with the discrete topology, then the Pontryagin dual

$$M^\vee = \mathrm{Hom}_{cts}(M, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of M is a compact pro-p abelian group.

Let G be a profinite group and M a G-module. Write M^G for the G-invariants of M. If M is a discrete p-primary G-module, then the induced action of G on $M^\vee$ is given by

$$(\sigma f)(x) = f(\sigma^{-1}x)$$

for all $\sigma \in G$. If M is a discrete G-module, then $H^i(G, M)$ denotes the ith cohomology group formed by continuous cochains.

For a number field F and a finite prime v of F, denote by F_v the completion of F at v. If L is an algebraic extension of F and w a prime of L above v, then L_w is the union of the completions at w of all finite extensions of F contained in L. For an elliptic curve E defined over F, denote by c_v the Tamagawa factor of E at v, which is defined as

$$c_v = [E(F) : E_0(F)],$$

where $E_0(F)$ denotes the subgroup of points of $E(F)$ which have non-singular reduction. Let $L_v(E, s)$ be the local Euler factor of E at v. If E has good reduction at v, then $\tilde{E}_v$ denotes the reduction of E mod v.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. **The Selmer group.** Let S be a finite set of primes of F containing all the primes dividing p, all the primes where E has bad reduction and all the primes of F which ramify in F_∞. Note that the choice of S implies that $F_\infty \subset F_S$. Let L be a finite extension of F contained in F_S. For each finite place v of F, define

$$J_v(L) = \bigoplus_{w|v} H^1(L_w, E)(p),$$

where w runs over all primes of L dividing v. Let $\bar{L}_w$ be an algebraic closure of L_w. By choosing an embedding $\bar{L} \subset \bar{L}_w$, we can consider $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{L}_w/L_w)$ as a subgroup of

$\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{L}/L)$, so we get the localisation map

$$\lambda_S(L) : H^1(G_S(L), E_{p^\infty}) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} J_v(L). \tag{2}$$

induced by restriction.

For an infinite algebraic extension K of F contained in F_S, define

$$J_v(K) = \varinjlim J_v(L),$$

where L runs over all finite extensions of F contained in K and the inductive limit is taken with respect to the restriction maps. Also, define

$$\lambda_S(K) = \varinjlim \lambda_S(L).$$

The following theorem is well-known (c.f. Section 2.2 in [5]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let K be an extension of F contained in F_S. Then* $\mathrm{Sel}(E/K)$ *is given by the exact sequence*

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sel}(E/K) \to H^1(G_S(K), E_{p^\infty}) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} J_v(K).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ is given by

$$0 \to \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty) \to H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_S(F_\infty)} \bigoplus_{v \in S} J_v(F_\infty). \tag{3}$$

2.2. **The category $\mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$.** In [14], Lazard has proven the following important result.

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be a pro-p p-adic Lie group with no element of finite order. Then the Iwasawa algebra $\Lambda(G)$ is left and right Noetherian and has no zero divisors.*

Let R be an open pro-p subgroup of Σ. Then Theorem 2.2 implies that $\Lambda(R)$ has a skew field of fractions $K(R)$ (c.f. Chapter 9 in [9]).

Definition 2.3. For a finitely generated $\Lambda(R)$-module M, define

$$\mathrm{rank}_{\Lambda(\Sigma)} M = \frac{1}{[\Sigma : R]} \dim_{K(R)} M \otimes_{\Lambda(R)} K(R).$$

Then M has rank 0 if and only if it is a torsion $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-module.

It is a simple (but crucial) observation that $\mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$ is a subcategory of the category of finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-modules.

Proposition 2.4. *Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$. Then M is a torsion $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-module.*

Proof. It is clearly sufficient to show that $M/M(p)$ is a torsion $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-module, so we assume without loss of generality that M is finitely generated over $\Lambda(H)$. If M is not a torsion $\Lambda(\Sigma)$-module, then $\mathrm{rank}_{\Lambda(\Sigma)} M > 0$, so M contains a copy of $\Lambda(\Sigma)$. But H is not of finite index in Σ, so $\Lambda(\Sigma)$ is not finitely generated over $\Lambda(H)$, giving the required contradiction. ☐

Together with the main result of Section 3.3 in [21] we obtain the following result, which will be important in Section 4.3.

Proposition 2.5. *Suppose that E has either good ordinary or split multiplicative reduction at all primes of F dividing p. If $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$, then we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty) \longrightarrow H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}) \xrightarrow{\lambda_S(F_\infty)} \bigoplus_{v \in S} J_v(F_\infty) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Here, S and $J_v(F_\infty)$ are defined as in Section 2.1.

The condition that $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$ also has the following important consequence.

Lemma 2.6. *If $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$, then $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion.*

Proof. If $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$, then as shown in [2], $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H$ is a cotorsion $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module. Applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram in Section 4.2 shows that if F_∞ is admissible, then the kernel and cokernel of the natural map

$$\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H$$

are finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules, which finishes the proof. ☐

2.3. **Generalised Euler characteristics.** Let D be a discrete p-primary Γ-module. Then we have

$$H^0(\Gamma, D) = D^\Gamma,$$
$$H^1(\Gamma, D) = D_\Gamma,$$

and hence there is an obvious map

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_D : H^0(\Gamma, D) &\longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, D), \\ f &\longmapsto \text{residue class of } f. \end{aligned}$$

For a discrete p-primary Σ-module X, define

$$d_0 : H^0(\Sigma, X) = H^0(\Gamma, X^H) \xrightarrow{\phi_{X^H}} H^1(\Gamma, X^H) \hookrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, X),$$

where the last map is given by inflation. Similarly, for $i \geq 1$, define

$$d_i : H^i(\Sigma, X) \twoheadrightarrow H^0(\Gamma, H^i(H, X)) \xrightarrow{\phi_{H^i(H,X)}} H^1(\Gamma, H^i(H, X)) \hookrightarrow H^{i+1}(\Sigma, X),$$

where the first map is given by restriction. Note that this map is surjective since $\mathrm{cd}_p(\Gamma) = 1$. For convenience, define d_{-1} to be the zero map. It is not difficult to see that $(H^i(\Sigma, X), d_i)$ forms a complex. Denote its homology groups by $\mathfrak{H}_i$.

Definition 2.7. The Σ-module X has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic if $\mathfrak{H}_i$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$. We then define

$$\chi(\Sigma, X) = \prod_{i \geq 0} \#\mathfrak{H}_i^{(-1)^i}.$$

Note that this definition agrees with the usual definition of (Σ, X) if the groups $H^i(\Sigma, X)$ are finite for all i. In this paper, we will only encounter the case when $H^0(\Sigma, X)$ and $H^1(\Sigma, X)$ are infinite and $H^i(\Sigma, X)$ is finite for all $i \geq 2$. In this case, X has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic if and only if the map d_0 has finite kernel and cokernel, and then

$$\chi(\Sigma, X) = \frac{\#\ker(d_0)}{\#\operatorname{coker}(d_0)} \times \prod_{i \geq 2} (\#H^i(\Sigma, X))^{(-1)^i}.$$

To see why this is a useful generalisation of the classical Σ-Euler characteristic, recall the definition of the Akashi series that first appeared in [6] (though not under this name) and was further studied in [2].

Definition 2.8. Let $M \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$. Then $H_i(H, M)$ is a torsion $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module for all $i \geq 0$. Let $g_{M,i}$ be its characteristic element, and define the Akashi series of M to be the alternating product

$$f_M = \prod_{i \geq 0} g_{M,i}^{(-1)^i}.$$

Note that f_M is only defined mod $\Lambda(\Gamma)^*$.

Remark. [(i)]

1. If M is a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module, then its Akashi series is the same as its characteristic element.
2. If X is a discrete p-primary Σ-module such that $M = X^\vee \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$, then we call f_M the Akashi series of X, and by abuse of notation, we denote it by f_X.

Lemma 2.9. *If we have a short exact sequence*

$$0 \to L \to M \to N \to 0$$

in $\mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$*, then* $f_M = f_N f_L$*.*

Proof. See Lemma 4.1 in [6]. □

Let $M^\vee$ be the Pontryagin dual of M. The following proposition gives a relation between f_M and the generalised Σ-Euler characteristic of $M^\vee$.

Proposition 2.10. *Let X be a discrete p-primary Σ-module such that $X^\vee \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$. If X has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic, then the leading term of f_X is $\alpha_X T^k$, where k is equal to the alternating sum*

$$k = \sum_{i \geq 0} (-1)^i \operatorname{cork}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} H^i(H, X)^\Gamma$$

and

$$\chi(\Sigma, X) = |\alpha_X|_p^{-1}.$$

Remark. This generalizes Lemma 4.2 in [6].

Proposition 2.10 is a direct consequence of the following lemma.

Lemma 2.11. *Let X be a finitely generated cotorsion $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-module, and let g_X be a generator of the characteristic ideal of $X^\vee$. If the natural map $\phi : X^\Gamma \longrightarrow X_\Gamma$ has finite kernel and cokernel, then $g_X(T) = T^r f(t)$, where $f(T) \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$, $T \nmid f(T)$ and $r = \operatorname{cork}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X^\Gamma = \operatorname{cork}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} X_\Gamma$, and*

$$\frac{\# \ker(\phi)}{\# \operatorname{coker}(\phi)} = |f(0)|_p^{-1}.$$

Proof. To simplify notation, let M denote the Pontryagin dual of X, and let ψ be the natural map $\psi : M^\Gamma \longrightarrow M_\Gamma$. Assume that ϕ has finite kernel and cokernel. By duality, this implies that the kernel and cokernel of ψ are also finite, and $\frac{\# \ker(\phi)}{\# \operatorname{coker}(\phi)} =$

$\frac{\#\operatorname{coker}(\psi)}{\#\ker(\psi)}$. Now M is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion, so using the structure theorem for finitely generated $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-modules, we have a pseudo-isomorphism

$$M \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \Lambda(\Gamma)/(g_i(T))$$

for some $g_i(T) \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$, where we can use the non-canonical identification of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ with the power series ring over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ in one variable. We define the map ψ 'componentwise', so we can without loss of generality assume that $M = \Lambda(\Gamma)/(g(T))$. If $g(0) \neq 0$, then M/TM is finite, so as shown in Lemma 4.2 in [10], both M^{Γ} and M_{Γ} are finite, and the result is immediate. Suppose that $g(0) = 0$, and write $g(T) = T^n f(T)$, where $f(T) \in \Lambda(\Gamma)$ and $T \nmid f(T)$. Now M^{Γ} is the kernel of the map $\times T : M \longrightarrow M$, which is given by multiplication by T, so M^{Γ} is the submodule generated by $T^{n-1}f(T) + (T^n f(T))$. Also, we have $M_{\Gamma} = M/TM$, which is equal to $\Lambda(\Gamma)/(T)$ since $n \geq 1$. Now $\Lambda(\Gamma)/(T) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$, and the map ψ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \psi : M^{\Gamma} &\longrightarrow M_{\Gamma}, \\ h(T) + (T^n f(T)) &\longmapsto h(0). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that if both $\ker(\psi)$ and $\operatorname{coker}(\psi)$ are finite, then we must have $n = 1$, so in fact $\ker(\psi) = 0$ and $\#\operatorname{coker}(\psi) = [\mathbb{Z}_p : f(0)\mathbb{Z}_p] = |f(0)|_p^{-1}$. □

Proof of Proposition 2.10. Recall that the map d_i is given by

$$d_i : H^i(\Sigma, A) \longrightarrow H^i(H, A)^{\Gamma} \xrightarrow{\phi_i} H^i(H, A)_{\Gamma} \hookrightarrow H^{i+1}(\Sigma, A). \tag{4}$$

It is not difficult to see that if A has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic, then ϕ_i has finite kernel and cokernel for all $i \geq 0$. A careful analysis of the maps in (4) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \#G_0 &= \#\ker(\phi_0), \text{ and} \\ \#G_i &= \#\ker(\phi_i)\#\operatorname{coker}(\phi_{i-1}) \end{aligned}$$

for all $i \geq 1$, where as before $G_i = \ker(d_i)/\operatorname{Im}(d_{i-1})$. The proof is now immediate from Lemma 2.11. □

As shown in [16] the maps d_i can also be constructed as follows.

Lemma 2.12. *Let c be a generator of $H^1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}_p)$. The the map d_i is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} d_i : H^i(\Sigma, A) &\longrightarrow H^{i+1}(\Sigma, A), \\ f &\longmapsto f \cup c. \end{aligned}$$

The following result will be useful.

Lemma 2.13. *Let $\phi : A \longrightarrow B$ be a homomorphism of discrete p-primary Σ-modules, and assume that ϕ has finite kernel and cokernel. Then A has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic if and only if B does, and if this is the case, then*

$$\chi(\Sigma, A) = \chi(\Sigma, B).$$

Proof. We can assume without loss of generality that $\ker(\phi) = 0$, so we have a short exact sequence of Σ-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow B \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0,$$

where C is finite. Taking Σ-cohomology, for all $i \geq 0$ the long exact sequence gives homomorphisms

$$H^i(\Sigma, A) \longrightarrow H^i(\Sigma, B),$$

which have finite kernel and cokernel since C is finite. These maps are compatible with the maps in the construction of the complex giving the generalised Σ-Euler characteristic, so it follows that A has finite generalised Euler characteristic if and only if so does B. By the multiplicativity of Akashi series in short exact sequences (c.f. Lemma 2.9), we have

$$f_B = f_A \times f_C.$$

But $f_C \sim 1$ since C is finite, so the result follows immediately from Proposition 2.10. □

Remark. It is *not* true that the generalised Σ-Euler characteristic is multiplicative in short exact sequences. I thank Otmar Venjakob for pointing out to me the following example: identify $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ with the power series ring $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$. Then it is easy to see that in the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Lambda(\Gamma)/T \xrightarrow{\times T} \Lambda(\Gamma)/T^2 \longrightarrow \Lambda(\Gamma)/T \longrightarrow 0 \tag{5}$$

the first and the last non-trivial modules have finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic, but the middle one does not.

2.4. **The large Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$.** Let S' be the subset of primes of F not dividing p which have infinite inertia group in Σ, and let $S'' = S \backslash S'$. Define the large Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ by the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc}) \longrightarrow H^1(G_S(F^{cyc}), E_{p^\infty}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S''} J_v(F^{cyc}).$$

Note. Since $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ depends on the extension F_∞, one should write $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})_{F_\infty}$, but we omit the index to keep the notation as simple as possible.

It is shown in [6] that if $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion, then $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ and $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})$ are related by the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S'} J_v(F^{cyc}) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{6}$$

The generalised Γ-Euler characteristics of $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})$ and $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ are closely related.

Lemma 2.14. *Suppose that $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})$ is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion. Then the large Selmer group $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ has finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic if and only if $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})$ does, and if this is the case, then*

$$\chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big) = \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})\big) \times \Big| \prod_{v \in S'} L_v(E,1) \Big|_p.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.13 and equation (6), it is sufficient to show that $J_v(F^{cyc})$ has finite Γ-Euler characteristic for all $v \in S'$, and that

$$\chi\big(\Gamma, J_v(F^{cyc})\big) = \big|L_v(E,1)\big|.$$

Shapiro's lemma gives canonical isomorphisms

$$H^0(\Gamma, J_v(F^{cyc})) \cong H^0(\Gamma_v, H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E)(p)),$$
$$H^1(\Gamma, J_v(F^{cyc})) \cong H^1(\Gamma_v, H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E)(p)),$$

where Γ_v is the decomposition group in Γ of some fixed prime of F^{cyc} above v. Since $v \nmid p$, Kummer theory shows that

$$H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E)(p) \cong H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E_{p^\infty}).$$

Applying the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence to the extension F_w^{cyc} of F_v gives a short exact sequence

(7)
$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma_v, E_{p^\infty}(F_w^{cyc})) \longrightarrow H^1(F_v, E_{p^\infty}) \longrightarrow H^0(\Gamma_v, H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E_{p^\infty})) \longrightarrow 0$$

and an isomorphism

$$H^2(F_v, E_{p^\infty}) \cong H^1(\Gamma_v, H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E_{p^\infty})). \tag{8}$$

Since $E_{p^\infty}(F_v)$ is finite, local duality implies that $H^2(F_v, E_{p^\infty}) = 0$, so

$$H^1(\Gamma_v, H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E_{p^\infty})) = 0.$$

Also, it is shown in [5], Lemmas 3.6 and 3.8, that the groups $H^1(\Gamma_v, E_{p^\infty}(F_w^{cyc}))$ and $H^1(F_v, E_{p^\infty})$ are finite, and that

$$\# H^1(\Gamma_v, E_{p^\infty}(F_w^{cyc})) = \left| c_v^{-1} \times L_v(E,1) \right|_p,$$
$$\# H^1(F_v, E_{p^\infty}) = |c_v|_p^{-1},$$

which finishes the proof. □

Remark. [(i)]

1. Since $J_v(F^{cyc})$ has finite Γ-Euler characteristic, it follows from Lemma 2.11 that the characteristic element of $J_v(F^{cyc})$ has nonzero constant term of value $|L_v(E,1)|_p$.
2. By the multiplicativity of characteristic elements in short exact sequences (c.f. Proposition 2.9), equation (6) implies that

$$f_{\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})} = f_{\mathrm{Sel}(E/F^{cyc})} \times \prod_{v \in \mathfrak{M}} f_v,$$

where for each $v \in \mathfrak{M}$, f_v denotes the characteristic element of $J_v(F^{cyc})$.

3. LOCAL RESULTS

For a prime v of F, denote by H_w the decomposition group in H of some fixed prime w of F_∞ above v; note that H_w can be identified with the local Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(F_{\infty,w}/F_w^{cyc})$. Similarly, let $\Sigma_w = \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\infty,w}/F_v)$ and $\Gamma_v = \mathrm{Gal}(F_w^{cyc}/F_v)$. We use the notation introduced in Section 2.1.

3.1. **Local Cohomology.**

Lemma 3.1. *Let $v \in S''$. Then for all $i \geq 0$ there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^i(H, J_v(F_\infty)) \cong \bigoplus_{w|v} H^i(H_w, H^1(F_{\infty,w}, E)(p)).$$

Proof. Easy consequence of Shapiro's lemma. □

Lemma 3.2. *If $v \in S''$ not dividing p, then*

$$H^i(H, J_v(F_\infty)) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. Since the inertia group of v in Σ is finite, it follows that $F_{\infty,w}$ is a finite extension of F_w^{cyc}. Now by assumption, Σ_w has no element of order p, so the Galois group $H_w = \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\infty,w}/F_w^{cyc})$ is of order prime to p. The result is now immediate from 3.1. □

Now let v be a prime of F dividing p, and denote by $k_{\infty,w}$ the residue field of $F_{\infty,w}$ and by $\tilde{E}_v$ the reduction of E modulo v.

Lemma 3.3. *Let v be a prime of F dividing p and assume that E has good ordinary reduction at v. Then for all $i \geq 1$, there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^i(H_w, H^i(F_{\infty,w}, E)(p)) \cong H^{i+2}(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})).$$

Proof. The extension $F_{\infty,w}$ of F_v is deeply ramified in the sense of [3] since it contains the deeply ramified field F_w^{cyc}, so there is a canonical isomorphism of Σ_w-modules

$$H^1(F_{\infty,w}, E)(p) \cong H^1(F_{\infty,w}, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}). \tag{9}$$

Again using Tate local duality, we see that

$$H^i(F_{\infty,w}, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 2$. The lemma now follows from applying Hochschild-Serre for the module on the right of equation (9) to the extension $F_{\infty,w}$ over F_w^{cyc}. □

3.2. **Analysis of the local restriction maps.**

Recall that we have defined the local restriction map

$$\gamma_S(F^{cyc}) = \bigoplus_{w|S''} \gamma_w,$$

where w runs over all primes of F^{cyc} lying above primes in S'', and where

$$\gamma_w : H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E)(p) \to H^1(F_{\infty,w}, E)(p)^{H_w}.$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let w be a prime of F^{cyc} which divides a prime in S'' but does not divide p. Then both $\ker(\gamma_w)$ and $\mathrm{coker}(\gamma_w)$ are zero.*

Proof. By inflation-restriction, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\gamma_w) =& H^1(H_w, E_{p^\infty}(F_{\infty,w})), \\ \mathrm{coker}(\gamma_w) =& H^2(H_w, E_{p^\infty}(F_{\infty,w})). \end{aligned}$$

But H_w is finite of order prime to p, which proves the lemma. □

Lemma 3.5. *Let v be a prime of F dividing p, and let w be a prime of F_∞ above v. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} \ker(\gamma_w) &= H^1(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})), \\ \mathrm{coker}(\gamma_w) &= H^2(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Both $F_{\infty,w}$ and F_w^{cyc} are deeply ramified in the sense of [3], so we have isomorphisms

$$H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E)(p) \cong H^1(F_w^{cyc}, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})),$$
$$H^2(F_{\infty,w}, E)(p) \cong H^2(F_{\infty,w}, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})).$$

The lemma now follows from the inflation-restriction exact sequence. □

4. Global results

Define the following hypotheses:

- (Tors_{cyc}) $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion,
- (Tors_∞) $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$.

Recall that (Tors_∞) implies (Tors_{cyc}) by Lemma 2.6. To simplify notation, define the condition

- (Fin) Both (Fin_{glob}) and (Fin_{loc}) are satisfied.

4.1. **Global cohomology.**

Lemma 4.1. *Suppose that (Tors_∞) holds. Then there are canonical isomorphisms*

$$H^i(H, H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty})) \cong H^{i+2}(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))$$

for all $i \geq 1$.

Proof. By Proposition 6 in [21], we have

$$H^i(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 2$. Also, it is well-known that the condition that $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is $\Lambda(\Gamma)$-torsion implies that $H^2(G_S(F^{cyc}), E_{p^\infty}) = 0$. The lemma now follows from applying Hochschild-Serre to the extension F_∞ over F^{cyc}. □

Remark. If E_{p^∞} is rational over F_∞, then we do not need assumption (Tors_∞) (c.f. Theorem 2.10 in [5]).

4.2. **Calculation of $\chi(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H)$.**

In this section, we relate the generalised Γ-Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H$ to the generalised Γ-Euler characteristic of $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$. Taking H-invariants of (3) gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H \arrow[r] & H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty})^H \arrow[r, "\psi_S(F_\infty)"] & \bigoplus_{v\in S} J_v(F_\infty)^H & \\
0 \arrow[r] & \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\alpha"] & H^1(F_S(F^{cyc}), E_{p^\infty}) \arrow[r, "\lambda_S(F^{cyc})"] \arrow[u, "\beta"] & \bigoplus_{v\in S''} J_v(F^{cyc}) \arrow[r] \arrow[u, "\gamma_S(F^{cyc})"] & 0
\end{tikzcd}$$

Here, $\gamma_S(F^{cyc}) = \bigoplus_{w|S''} \gamma_w(F^{cyc})$, where

$$\gamma_w(F^{cyc}) : H^1(F_w^{cyc}, E)(p) \longrightarrow H^1(F_{\infty,w}, E)(p)^{H_w},$$

and we write $w|S''$ if w is a prime of F^{cyc} dividing a prime in S''.

Proposition 4.2. *Let F_∞ be an admissible p-adic Lie extension of F. Suppose that the conditions (Fin) and (Tors$_{cyc}$) hold. Then $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H$ has finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic if and only if so does $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$, and if this is the case, then*

$$\chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H\big) = \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big).$$

Proof. Apply the snake lemma to the above commutative diagram to get an exact sequence of Γ-modules

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & \ker(\alpha) \arrow[r] & \ker(\beta) \arrow[r] & \ker(\gamma) \\ \arrow[r] & \mathrm{coker}(\alpha) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{coker}(\beta) \arrow[r] & \mathrm{coker}(\gamma) \end{tikzcd}$$

Let $A = \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})/\ker(\alpha)$. Inflation-restriction shows that

$$\ker(\beta) = H^1(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)),$$
$$\mathrm{coker}(\beta) = H^2(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)),$$

which are finite by assumption. It follows that $\ker(\alpha)$ is finite, so by Corollary 2.13 A has finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic if and only if so does $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$, and then $\chi(\Gamma, A) = \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big)$. We clearly have an exact sequence of Γ-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow A \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 2.13 it is sufficient to show that $\mathrm{coker}(\alpha)$ has finite Γ-Euler characteristic, and that $\chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{coker}(\alpha)\big) = 1$. The map $\ker(\gamma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\alpha)$ has finite kernel and cokernel, so it is sufficient to show that $\ker(\gamma)$ has finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic, and $\chi\big(\Gamma, \ker(\gamma)\big) = 1$. Now by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.5, for $i = 0, 1$ we have

$$H^i(\Gamma, \ker(\gamma)) \cong \bigoplus_{v|p} H^i(\Gamma_v, H^1(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))),$$

where w is a fixed prime of F^{cyc} above v for each prime v of F dividing p. It is therefore sufficient to show that $H^1(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ has finite generalised Γ_v-Euler characteristic for each $v|p$, and

$$\chi\big(\Gamma_v, H^1(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))\big) = 1.$$

But since we assume that E has good ordinary reduction at all primes of F dividing p, $H^i(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$ by assumption, so clearly

$$\# H^1(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))^{\Gamma_v} = \# H^1(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))_{\Gamma_v}.$$

□

4.3. Calculation of $\chi\big(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)\big)$.

Recall that by Proposition 2.5, the assumption (Tors$_\infty$) implies that we have the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty) \longrightarrow H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} J_v(F_\infty) \longrightarrow 0. \tag{10}$$

Lemma 4.3. *If the conditions (Tors$_\infty$) and (Fin) hold, then $H^i(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 1$.*

Proof. Recall that we had defined the map

$$\psi_S(F_\infty) : H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty})^H \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v\in S} J_v(F_\infty)^H.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathrm{Im}(\gamma)$ is contained in $\mathrm{Im}(\psi_S(F_\infty))$. It is shown in Lemma 5.2 that $\mathrm{coker}(\gamma)$ is finite, which implies that $\mathrm{coker}(\psi_S(F_\infty))$ is finite. Taking H-cohomology of (10), we get the long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned}0 &\longrightarrow \mathrm{coker}(\psi_S(F_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^1(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^1(H, H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}))\\ &\longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v\in S} H^d(H, J_v(F_\infty)) \longrightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

Here, $d = \dim(H)$ which is equal to the p-cohomological dimesion of H since H has no element of order p. By Lemmas 4.1 and 3.3, for all $i \geq 1$ we have canonical isomorphisms

$$H^i(H, H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty})) \cong H^{i+2}(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)),$$

and

$$H^i(H, J_v(F_\infty)) = \begin{cases} \bigoplus_{w|v} H^{i+2}(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})) & \text{if } v|p \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

As shown in Lemmas 5.4 and 5.2, these cohomology groups are all finite, so we deduce that $H^i(H, H^1(G_S(F_\infty), E_{p^\infty}))$ and $H^i(H, J_v(F_\infty))$ are finite for all $i \geq 1$, which proves the lemma. □

Proposition 4.4. *Let* d_0 *be the map*

$$d_0 : H^0(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^1(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))$$

as constructed in Section 2.3. Then under the same conditions as in Lemma 4.3, d_0 *has finite kernel and cokernel if and only if* $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ *has finite generalised* Γ*-Euler characteristic, and then*

$$\frac{\#\ker(d_0)}{\#\mathrm{coker}(d_0)} = \frac{\chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big)}{\#H^1(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)))^\Gamma}.$$

Proof. As shown in Proposition 4.2, the map

$$f : H^0(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H) \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H)$$

has finite kernel and cokernel if and only if $\mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})$ has finite generalised Γ-Euler characteristic, and then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\#\ker(f)}{\#\mathrm{coker}(f)} &= \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H\big)\\ &= \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big).\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{cd}_p(\Gamma) = 1$, Hochschild-Serre gives the short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^H) \longrightarrow H^1(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^1(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))^\Gamma \longrightarrow 0.$$

As shown in Lemma 5.4, $H^1(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))$ is finite, which implies the result. □

Proposition 4.5. *Under the same conditions as in Lemma 4.3* $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ *has finite generalised* Σ*-Euler characteristic, and*

$$\chi\big(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)\big) = \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big).$$

Proof. Since $\mathrm{cd}_p(\Gamma) = 1$, Hochschild-Serre gives exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H^{i-1}(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))_\Gamma \longrightarrow H^i(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)) \longrightarrow H^i(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))^\Gamma \longrightarrow 0$$

for all $i \geq 2$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 that $H^i(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 2$. For $i \geq 1$, let

$$h_i = \# H^i(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))^\Gamma.$$

Since $H^i(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 1$, we have

$$\# H^i(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))^\Gamma = \# H^i(H, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty))_\Gamma,$$

and so

$$\# H^i(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)) = h_{i-1} h_i$$

for all $i \geq 2$. Hence

$$\prod_{i \geq 2} (\# H^i(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)))^{(-1)^i} = h_1.$$

Combining this with Proposition 4.4 shows that $\mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)$ has finite generalised Σ-Euler characteristic, and

$$\chi\big(\Sigma, \mathrm{Sel}(E/F_\infty)\big) = \chi\big(\Gamma, \mathrm{Sel}'(E/F^{cyc})\big).$$

□

4.4. **Example.** Let $E = X_1(11)$, which is given by the equation

$$E : y^2 + y = x^3 - x^2.$$

Take $p = 7$ and $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_7)$. Note that E is ordinary at 7. Chris Wuthrich has shown the following result.

Proposition 4.6. *$\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module of rank 1.*

Corollary 4.7. *There exists $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ such that the characteristic power series of $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ is uT.*

Corollary 4.8. *Ш$(E/F)(7)$ is finite.*

Proof. One can show that $\mathrm{rank}_\mathbb{Z} E(F) = 1$. It is well-known that there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \varinjlim E(F)/7^n \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sel}(E/F) \longrightarrow Ш(E/F)(7) \longrightarrow 0,$$

so if Ш$(E/F)(7)$ is infinite, then $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_7} \mathrm{Sel}(E/F) \geq 2$. Applying the fundamental diagram (c.f. diagram (16) in [4]) to the extension F^{cyc} of F, one deduces that the leading term of the characteristic power series of $\mathcal{C}(E/F^{cyc})$ has exponent > 1, giving a contradiction. □

Let $m > 1$ be an integer, and consider the extension $F_\infty = F\big(\mu_{7^\infty}, \sqrt[7^\infty]{m}\big)$, which is a Galois extension of F with Galois group $\Sigma \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Lemma 4.9. *A prime l of F has infinite inertia group in F_∞ if and only if $l | 7m$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.9 in [11]. □

Theorems 3.1 and 3.7 in [11] imply that $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty) \in \mathfrak{M}_H(\Sigma)$, so all the conditions of Theorem 1.1 are satisfied. Let $m = 113$. Since $113 = 1 \mod 7$, 113 splits completely in F. Note that E has good reduction at each prime v of F above 7 and 113. By definition, we have

$$L_v(E,s) = \left(1 + a_v q_v^{-1} + q_v^{-2}\right)^{-1},$$

where q_v is the order of the residue field of F_v and $a_v = q_v + 1 - \#\tilde{E}_v(k_w)$. Explicit calculation shows that $a_v = -2$ for $v|7$ and $a_v = 9$ for all $v|113$, and hence $|L_v(E,1)|_7 = 7^2$ for $v|7$ and $|L_v(E,1)|_7 = 1$ when $v|113$. It therefore follows from Theorem 1.1 that $\mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty)$ has finite generalised Euler characteristic, and (up to a unit in $\mathbb{Z}_7$)

$$\chi\big(\Sigma, \mathcal{C}(E/F_\infty)\big) = 7^8.$$

5. Global and local finiteness conditions

5.1. **The general case.** Recall the following conditions:

- (Fin$_{glob}$) $H^i(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.
- (Fin$_{loc}$) For any prime v of F dividing p, $H^i(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.

The aim of this section is the proof of Theorem 1.2, which defines a class of admissible p-adic Lie extensions of F for which the conditions (Fin$_{glob}$) and (Fin$_{loc}$) are satisfied. Assume that F_∞ is the fixed field of the kernel of some continuous representation (ρ, V) of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on a finite dimensional $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space V. For a prime v of F dividing p, denote by ρ_v the restriction of ρ to $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)$.

Definition 5.1. Let $v|p$, and let $\mathbb{F}_q$ be the residue field of F_v. A Weil number of weight $w \in \mathbb{Z}$ with respect to q is an algebraic number all of whose archimedean absolute values are $q^{\frac{w}{2}}$ and its u-adic absolute value is 1 for any non-archimedean prime u which does not divide p.

Proposition 5.2. *Let v be a prime of F dividing p, and let w be a fixed prime of F_∞ above v. Assume that the representation ρ_v is semistable. Also, assume that the eigenvalues of the Frobenius endomorphism Φ acting on the filtered module*

$$D(V) = (\mathbb{B}_{st} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}_v/F_v)}$$

are Weil numbers of fixed parity. Then $H^i(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.

Proof. If $\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})$ is finite, then the result is immediate. We can therefore assume that $\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}$ is rational over $k_{\infty,w}$. Let H_∞ denote the maximal unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F_w^{cyc} contained in $F_{\infty,w}$, and define the Galois group $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(H_\infty/F_w^{cyc})$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{D}$ denote the respective Lie algebras. Define the $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space $V_p(\tilde{E}) = T_p(\tilde{E}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. By Theorem 2.4.10 in Chapter V of [14], it is sufficient to show that $H^i(\mathfrak{H}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, V_p(\tilde{E})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$, where for a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space W, we use the notation $W_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p} = W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$. Let $D(V) = (\mathbb{B}_{st} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} V)^{\mathrm{Gal}(\overline{F_v}/F_v)}$, and let $i_1 \leq \cdots \leq i_d$ denote the Hodge-Tate weights of the natural filtration of $D(V)$. Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_d$ denote the eigenvalues of the Frobenius endomorphism Φ acting on $D(V)$. By assumption, these eigenvalues are Weil numbers of a fixed parity. Then as shown in [8] there exists an element $X \in \mathfrak{H}$ such that the eigenvalues of X on $V_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ are of the form $\log_\pi(\lambda_1 q^{-i_1}), \ldots, \log_\pi(\lambda_d q^{-i_d})$, where the number π is chosen to be transcendental over $\mathbb{Q}$. This choice guarantees that $\log_\pi(z)$ is nonzero for every element $z \in \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ which is algebraic over $\mathbb{Q}$ and not a root of unity. Since the representation of H_w on V is faithful, the eigenvalues $\{\eta_i\}_{i=1}^n$ of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathfrak{H}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}}(X)$ are of the form $\log_\pi(\lambda_j q^{-i_j}) - \log_\pi(\lambda_k q^{-i_k})$ for some $1 \leq j, k \leq d$, so in particular they can be written as $\log_\pi(x)$, where x is a Weil number of even weight. By the construction of the element X in [8], it is not difficult to see that the image of the projection of X in $\mathfrak{D}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is nonzero, so its action on $V_p(\tilde{E})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ is non-trivial. It is well-known that the eigenvalue μ of this action is of the form $\log_\pi(x)$, where x is a Weil number of weight 1, i.e. it is of absolute complex value $q^{\frac{1}{2}}$. It follows that $\mu - (\eta_{j_1} + \cdots + \eta_{j_k})$ is of the form $\log_\pi(\kappa)$, where κ is a Weil number of complex absolute value $m/2$ with m an odd integer. In particular, we have

$$\mu - (\eta_{j_1} + \cdots + \eta_{j_k}) \neq 0$$

for all choices $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_k \leq n$, so the representation of $\mathfrak{H}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ on $V_p(\tilde{E})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$ satisfies Serre's criterion. As shown in [8], this implies that

$$H^i(\mathfrak{H}_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}, V_p(\tilde{E})_{\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p}) = 0$$

for all $i \geq 0$, which completes the proof. □

To prove Theorem 1.2, it remains to show that (Fin_{glob}) is satisfied. We start by proving the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, E an elliptic curve defined over F and p an odd prime. Let F_∞ be a p-adic Lie extension of F which contains the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. If E_{p^∞} is not rational over F_∞, then $E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)$ is finite.*

Proof. Suppose that $E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)$ is infinite. Assume first that E does not have complex multiplication. If $E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)$ has $\mathbb{Z}_p$-corank equal to 1, then $V_p(E) = T_p(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$ has a 1-dimensional $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$-invariant subspace. However, as shown in [19], the Galois group of $F(E_{p^\infty})$ over F is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, so the representation of $\mathrm{Gal}(\bar{F}/F)$ on $V_p(E)$ is irreducible, which gives the required contradiction. Assume now that E has complex multiplication. We first show that the p-torsion points of E are rational over F_∞. Let $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty(E_p)/F_\infty)$. It is well-known that the order of Δ is prime to p (cf. [15]). By assumption, $E_p(F_\infty) \neq 0$. By choosing a suitable $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$-basis of E_p, we get an isomorphism of Δ with a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})$ consisting of matrices of the form $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, where $x \in \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$. But if $x \neq 0$, then $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ generates a subgroup of order p. It follows that Δ is trivial and hence E_p is rational over F_∞. It is shown in [15] that $\mathrm{Gal}(F(E_{p^\infty})/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p$. Note that $F(E_{p^\infty})$ contains F^{cyc} by the Weil pairing. Let K_∞ denote the extension of F generated by $E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)$. Then $\mathrm{Gal}(K_\infty/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \geq 0$. It is shown in [7] that $E_{p^\infty}(F^{cyc})$ is finite, so K_∞ and F^{cyc} intersect in a finite extension of F. It follows that $F(E_{p^\infty}) = K_\infty F^{cyc}$, which proves the proposition. □

Proposition 5.4. *Let F be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}$ and p a prime ≥ 5. Let F_∞ be an admissible p-adic Lie extension of F with Galois group $\Sigma = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$, and let $H = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F^{cyc})$. Assume* Lie H *is reductive. Let E be an elliptic curve defined over F. Then $H^i(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. If E_{p^∞} is not rational over F_∞, then as shown in Lemma 5.3, $E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)$ is finite. Recall the following result from [20], Section 4.1: If G is a pro-p p-adic Lie group and M a finite p-primary discrete G-module, then $H^i(G,M)$ is finite for all i. Since $E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)$ is finite, this proves the proposition when H is pro-p. Suppose that H is not pro-p. Fix an open normal pro-p subgroup G of H. Since G is pro-p, $H^i(G, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$. The finiteness of the $H^i(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))$ now follows from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(H, H^q(G, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty))) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(H, E_{p^\infty}(F_\infty)).$$

Suppose now that E_{p^∞} is rational over F_∞. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ denote the Lie algebra of H, and let $V_p(E) = T_p(E) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, where $T_p(E)$ denotes the Tate module of E_{p^∞}. To prove the proposition, it is sufficient to show that $H^i(\mathfrak{H}, V_p(E)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Let $J = \mathrm{Gal}(F(E_{p^\infty})/F^{cyc})$ and $I = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F^{cyc})$. To simply notation, write $\mathfrak{J} = \mathrm{Lie}\, J$ and $\mathfrak{J}' = \mathrm{Lie}\, I$. Since $\mathfrak{H}$ is reductive, it is easy to see that we have $\mathfrak{H} \cong \mathfrak{J}' \times \mathfrak{J}$. Now $H^i(\mathfrak{J}, V_p(E)) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$ (the case when E has complex multiplication is a direct consequence of Imai's theorem [13], and the non-CM case is shown in the appendix of [7]). Since in particular $H^0(\mathfrak{J}, V_p(E)) = 0$ and $\mathfrak{J}' \cong \mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{J}$, applying the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence to the ideal $\mathfrak{J}$ of $\mathfrak{H}$ finishes the proof. □

5.2. **A special case.** When the p-adic Lie extension is generated by the points of p-power order on an abelian variety, then we can analyse the local cohomology groups in more detail. The aim of this section is to show that for such an extension the condition (Fin_{loc}) is satisfied, without using the results in [8].

Let E be an elliptic curve and A an abelian variety, both defined over F, and assume that both A and E have either good ordinary reduction at the the primes of F dividing p. Let $F_\infty = F(A_{p^\infty})$, $\Sigma = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$, $H = \mathrm{Gal}(F_\infty/F^{cyc})$ and $\Gamma = \mathrm{Gal}(F^{cyc}/F)$.

Let v be a prime of F dividing p and let w be a prime of F^{cyc} above v. We split the proof of (Fin_{loc}) up into two propositions. The first one of these propositions is only important here because its proof gives on a detailed study of the local Galois group H_w which we need in order to show that (Fin_{loc}) is satisfied.

Proposition 5.5. *Let v be a prime of F dividing p, and let w be a prime of F^{cyc} dividing v. Assume that A has good ordinary reduction at v. Then*

$$H^0(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong H^1(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

as Γ_v-modules, and $H^i(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite for all $i \geq 2$.

Remark. Observe that to prove the finiteness of $H^i(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for $i \geq 2$, it is sufficient to show that $H^i(R, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite for some open normal subgroup R of H_w, i.e. we can replace F_v by any finite Galois extension which is contained in $F_{\infty,w}$. Let M_∞ be the maximal unramified extension of F_w^{cyc} contained in $F_{\infty,w}$, and define the Galois groups $M = \mathrm{Gal}(F_{\infty,w}/M_\infty)$ and $\Delta = \mathrm{Gal}(M_\infty/F_w^{cyc})$. Then $F_{\infty,w}$ is a finite extension of the unique unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F_w^{cyc}, so Δ is isomorphic to the direct product of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with some finite abelian group of order prime to p. By the previous remark, we can without loss of generality assume that $\Delta \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let δ be topological generator of Δ. As shown in [10], we have

$$M \leq \mathrm{Hom}(T_p(\tilde{A}), T_p(\hat{A})) \tag{11}$$

$$= \mathrm{Hom}(T_p(\tilde{A}), \mathrm{Hom}(T_p(\tilde{A^d}), \mathbb{Z}_p)) \tag{12}$$

where the equality comes from the Weil pairing and the observation that H_w acts trivially on the p-power root of unity. Here, A^d denotes the dual abelian variety; note that A^d is isogenous to A over $\bar{F}_v$. It is easy to see that the action of δ on $\mathrm{Hom}(T_p(\tilde{A}), T_p(\hat{A}))$ is diagonizable over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_p$, and the eigenvalues are $\{\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j^{-1}\}$, where the λ_i are the eigenvalues of Ω. As shown in [10], the λ_i are p-adic units and Weil numbers of absolute complex value $q^{1/2}$, where q is the cardinality of the residue field of F_v. Since M is a δ-invariant subspace of $\mathrm{Hom}(T_p(\tilde{A}), T_p(\hat{A}))$, the action of δ on M is also diagonizable. If Φ denotes the matrix representing this action with respect to some fixed $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of M, then it follows that the eigenvalues $\{\alpha_k\}$ of Φ are contained in $\{\lambda_i^{-1}\lambda_j^{-1}\}$, so in particular they are p-adic units and Weil numbers of absolute complex value q^{-1}. Now clearly $\Phi \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Since $1 + M_n(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is an open subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, we may without loss of generality assume that $\Phi \in 1 + M_n(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$ (if necessary, replace F_v by a finite extension contained in F_w^{cyc}). Note that then the eigenvalues of Φ are elements of $1 + \mathfrak{m}$, where $\mathfrak{m}$ is the maximal ideal in the ring of integers of $\bar{F}_v$.

Proof. Let F_v^{nr} denote the unramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of F_v. It is then clear from the above discussion that (up to a finite extension) $F_w^{cyc}F_v^{nr}$ is the maximal abelian subextension of F_w^{cyc} contained in $F_{\infty,w}$, so $H^1(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, which is an isomorphism of Γ_v-modules since $F_w^{cyc} \cap F_v^{nr} = F_v$.

Observe that $H^i(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ is finite if and only if $H^i(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p) = 0$. Let $\mathfrak{H}$ denote the Lie algebra of H. Then $H^i(H_w, \mathbb{Q}_p)$ is a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-subspace of $H^i(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{Q}_p)$, so it is sufficient to show that $H^i(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{Q}_p) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. Denote by $\mathfrak{D}$ and $\mathfrak{M}$ the Lie algebras of Δ and M, respectively. It is easy to see that $\mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{Q}_p^N$, both with trivial Lie bracket. Since M is a normal subgroup of H_w, it follows that $\mathfrak{M}$ is an ideal of $\mathfrak{H}$, and we have a canonical isomorphism $\mathfrak{D} \cong \mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}$. Since $\dim(\mathfrak{D}) = 1$, for all $i \geq 2$ Hochschild-Serre [12] gives short exact sequences

$$0 \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{D}, H^{i-1}(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbb{Q}_p)) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{Q}_p) \longrightarrow H^i(\mathfrak{M}, \mathbb{Q}_p)^{\mathfrak{D}} \longrightarrow 0. \tag{13}$$

Here, the action of $\mathfrak{D}$ on $\mathfrak{M}$ is given by the adjoint representation

$$\mathrm{ad} : \mathfrak{H} \longrightarrow \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{M}),$$

which factors though $\mathfrak{D}$ since $\mathfrak{M}$ is abelian. The idea now is to relate this action to the action by conjugation of H_w on M, which factors though Δ since M is abelian. As observed above, $\mathfrak{M}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p^N$ with the normal vector addition. If $m_1, \ldots, m_N$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of M, an element of M is of the form $m_1^{x_1} \ldots m_N^{x_N}$ for some $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$. The log function is then given by

$$\begin{aligned} \log : M &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{M}, \\ m_1^{x_1} \ldots m_N^{x_N} &\longmapsto (x_1, ..., x_N). \end{aligned}$$

We remark that this corresponds to the inclusion of groups $M \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{M}$. Again, let δ be a topological generator of Δ, and denote by $c(\delta)$ the action by conjugation on M: If $\tilde{\delta}$ is any lift of δ to H_w and $m \in M$, then $c(\delta)(m) = \tilde{\delta} m \tilde{\delta}^{-1}$. If $Lc(\delta)$ denotes the induced action on $\mathfrak{M}$, then the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
c(\delta) : & M \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & M \arrow[d] \\
Lc(\delta) & \mathfrak{M} \arrow[r] & \mathfrak{M}
\end{tikzcd}$$

Now $Lc(\delta)$ is $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear, so if we consider our $\mathbb{Z}_p$-basis of M as a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-basis of $\mathfrak{M}$, then $Lc(\delta) \in \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{M})$ is also represented by Φ. The adjoint representation of Δ is therefore given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ad} : \Delta &\longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}), \\ \delta^x &\longmapsto \Phi^x. \end{aligned}$$

By definition, $\mathrm{ad} = L\,\mathrm{Ad}$,where

$$L\,\mathrm{Ad} : \mathfrak{D} \longrightarrow L\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}).$$

Since $\mathfrak{M} = \mathbb{Q}_p^N$, we have $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{GL}_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and so $L\,\mathrm{Aut}(\mathfrak{M}) = \mathrm{End}(\mathfrak{M}) = M_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ with respect to the chosen $\mathbb{Q}_p$-basis of $\mathfrak{M}$. For a matrix $A = (a_{ij}) \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, define

$$|A| = \sup_{i,j} |a_{ij}|.$$

This norm is compatible with the topology on $M_N(\mathbb{Q}_p)$, and

$$M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p) = \{A \in M_N(\mathbb{Q}_p) : |A| < p^{-\frac{1}{p-1}}\}.$$

As shown in [1], we therefore have the exponential function

$$\begin{aligned} \exp : M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p) &\longrightarrow 1 + M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p), \\ A &\longmapsto \Sigma_{n\geq 0} \frac{A^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which is an analytic isomorphism of p-adic analytic manifolds with inverse

$$\begin{aligned} \log : 1 + M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p) &\longrightarrow M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p), \\ A &\longmapsto \Sigma_{n\geq 1} (-1)^{n+1} \frac{(A-1)^n}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

As observed before, we have $\mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{Q}_p$ with trivial Lie bracket, and the log-function on Δ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \log : \Delta &\longrightarrow \mathfrak{D}, \\ \delta^x &\longmapsto x. \end{aligned}$$

By the same argument as above, this allows us to identify Δ with a subgroup of $\mathfrak{M}$, i.e. the log-function is the inclusion map $\Delta \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{D}$. We have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
Ad : & \Delta \arrow[r] \arrow[d] & 1 + M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p) \arrow[d, "\log"] \\
ad : & \mathfrak{D} \arrow[r] & M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Recall that by assumption, we have $\Phi \in 1 + M_N(p\mathbb{Z}_p)$. Identifying Δ with its image in $\mathfrak{D}$, it follows that $\mathrm{ad}(\delta)$ is represented by the matrix $\log(\Phi) = \Sigma_{i\geq 1}(-1)^{n+1}\frac{(\Phi-1)^n}{n}$. Now ad is $\mathbb{Q}_p$-linear, so if $x \in \mathfrak{D} = \mathbb{Q}_p$, then

$$\mathrm{ad}(x) = x\log(\Phi).$$

It follows that the action of $\mathfrak{D}$ on $\mathfrak{M}$ is diagonizable over $\bar{Q_p}$, and the eigenvalues $\{\mu_i\}$ of $\mathrm{ad}(\delta)$ are the p-adic logarithms of the eigenvalues of $c(\delta)$ acting on M. Observe that $\mu_i \neq 0$ for all i since the eigenvalues of $c(\delta)$ are Weil numbers and hence cannot be roots of unity.

Let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ over which $\log(\Phi)$ is diagonizable. For a $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector space W, let $W_K = W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} K$. It is well-known that

$$H^i(\mathfrak{H}_K, K) = H^i(\mathfrak{H}, \mathbb{Q}_p)_K$$

for all i. It is therefore sufficient to show that $H^i(\mathfrak{H}_K, K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$. (Recall what we are trying to prove!) Tensoring (13) with K gives short exact sequences

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{D}_K, H^{i-1}(\mathfrak{M}_K, K)) \arrow[r] & H^i(\mathfrak{H}_K, K) \arrow[r] & H^i(\mathfrak{M}_K, K)^{\mathfrak{D}_K} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

for all $i \geq 2$. Here, the action of $\mathfrak{D}_K$ on $\mathfrak{M}_K$ is the natural extension of the action of $\mathfrak{D}$ on $\mathfrak{M}$ that we have analysed above, so it is diagonizable. Decompose $\mathfrak{M}_K = \mathfrak{M}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{M}_N$ as a direct sum of eigenspaces, and denote by μ_i the eigenvalue of δ acting on $\mathfrak{M}_i$. Identifying $\mathfrak{D}_K$ with K, we have shown that an element $k \in \mathfrak{D}_K$ acts on $\mathfrak{M}_i$ as multiplication by $k\mu_i = k\log(\alpha_i)$, where α_i is a Weil number of absolute complex value q^{-1}. For $0 \leq j < N$, let $\mathfrak{H}_{>j} = \mathfrak{H}/\mathfrak{M}_{j+1} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{M}_N$.

Claim (I)**.** Let $X \cong K$ such that (i) $\mathfrak{M}_n$ acts trivially on X for all $1 \leq n \leq j$, and (ii) $k \in \mathfrak{D}_K$ acts on X as multiplication by $k\log(\alpha)$,where α is a p-adic unit and Weil number of complex absolute value q^s for some $s > 0$. Then $H^i(\mathfrak{H}_j, X) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$.

To prove the claim, we will use repeatedly the following observation: Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be a 1-dimensional Lie algebra over a field K, and suppose that $\mathfrak{G}$ acts nontrivially on a 1-dimensional K-vector space V. Then V has trivial $\mathfrak{G}$-cohomology.

Proof of Claim I. We procede by induction on j:

$j = 1$: The case when $i = 0$ is clear. Suppose that $i > 1$. Now $\dim(\mathfrak{M}_1) = 1$, so Hochschild-Serre gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{D}_K, X) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{H}_{>1}, X) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, X)^{\mathfrak{D}_K} \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

and isomorphisms

$$H^i(\mathfrak{H}_{>1}, X) \cong H^{i-1}(\mathfrak{D}_K, H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, X))$$

for all $i \geq 2$. Now $\dim(\mathfrak{D}_K) = \dim(H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, X)) = 1$ and $k \in \mathfrak{D}_K$ acts on $H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, X)$ as multiplication by $k\log(\alpha\alpha_i)$. Now $\alpha\alpha_i$ is a Weil number of complex absolute value q^{1+s}, so $\log(\alpha\alpha_i) \neq 0$ and hence the $\mathfrak{D}_K$-cohomology of $H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, X)$ is zero by above observation.

Let $1 < j \leq N$ and suppose the claim holds for $j - 1$. Now $\dim(\mathfrak{M}_j) = 1$, so again Hochschild-Serre gives an exact sequence

$$\begin{tikzcd} 0 \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{H}_{>j-1}, X) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{H}_{>j}, X) \arrow[r] & H^1(\mathfrak{M}_j, X)^{\mathfrak{H}_{>j-1}} \\ \arrow[r] & \cdots & & \\ H^j(\mathfrak{H}_{>j-1}, X) \arrow[r] & H^j(\mathfrak{H}_{>j}, X) \arrow[r] & H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{H}_{>j-1}, H^1(\mathfrak{M}_j, X)) \arrow[r] & 0 \end{tikzcd}$$

By hypothesis, $H^i(\mathfrak{H}_{>j-1}, X)$ is zero for all $i \geq 0$. Now $\mathfrak{M}_n$ acts trivially on $H^1(\mathfrak{M}_j, X)$ for all $1 \leq n < j$, and $k \in \mathfrak{D}_K$ acts on it as multiplication by $k \log(\alpha\alpha_j)$. As observed before, $\alpha\alpha_j$ is a Weil number of absolute complex weight q^{1+s}, so the action is nontrivial and hence $H^1(\mathfrak{M}_j, X)$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii) above. By induction hypothesis, it then follows that $H^{j-1}(\mathfrak{H}_{>j-1}, H^1(\mathfrak{M}_j, X))$ is zero for all $i \geq 0$, which proves the claim. ☐

Claim (II)**.** For all $1 \leq j < N$, we have $H^i(\mathfrak{H}_j, K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 2$.

Proof of Claim II. Again, we procede by induction on j.

$j = 1$: We have $\dim(\mathfrak{H}_{>1}) = 2$, so it is sufficient to prove that $H^2(\mathfrak{H}_{>1}, K) = 0$. Hochschild-Serre gives an exact sequence

$$H^2(\mathfrak{D}_K, K) \longrightarrow H^2(\mathfrak{H}_{>1}, K) \longrightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{D}_K, H^1(\mathfrak{M}_1, K))$$

which proves the result using claim I.

For $1 < j \leq N$, the result is proved using Hochschild-Serre and the result of claim I. This finishes the proof of claim II and also of the proposition. ☐

☐

As a corollary, we get the following proposition which gives a new proof of Proposition 2.12 in [21], using the standard argument as in *A.2.9* in [7].

Proposition 5.6. *Let v be a prime of F diving p where E has good ordinary reduction, and let w be a prime of F^{cyc} dividing v. Assume that A has good ordinary reduction at v. Then $H^i(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ is finite for all $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})$ is finite. Let R be an open normal pro-p subgroup of H_w. It is well-known (c.f. the proof of Proposition 4.2 in [21]) that then $H^i(R, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ is finite for all i, and we deduce the finiteness of the $H^i(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))$ from the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence

$$H^p(H_w, H^q(R, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w}))) \Rightarrow H^{p+q}(H_w, \tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})).$$

Suppose now that $\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}(k_{\infty,w})$ is infinite. We will use without comment the notation of the proof of the previous proposition. Let $T_p(\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty})$ denote the Tate module of $\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}$, and let $V = T_p(\tilde{E}_{v,p^\infty}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$. It is sufficient to prove that $H^i(H_w, V) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. Now δ acts on V as multiplication by some p-adic unit ν, which is a Weil number of absolute complex weight $q^{1/2}$. As before, let K be a finite extension of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ over which Φ is diagonizable. Then it is sufficient to show that $H^i(\mathfrak{H}_K, V_K) = 0$ for all $i \geq 0$. The proposition now follows from applying Claim I of the proof of Proposition 5.5 to the $\mathfrak{H}_K$ module V_K. ☐

REFERENCES

[1] N. Bourbaki. *Lie groups and Lie algebras I.* Addison-Wesley Publishing Company, Massachusetts, 1973.

[2] J. Coates, T. Fukaya, K. Kato, R. Sujatha and O. Venjakob. The GL_2 main conjecture for elliptic curves without complex multiplication. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (101):163–208, 2005.

[3] J. Coates and R. Greenberg. Kummer theory for abelian varieties over local fields. *Invent. Math.*, 124(1-3):129–174, 1996.

[4] J. Coates and S. Howson. Euler characteristics and elliptic curves I. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 94(21):11115–11117, 1997. Elliptic curves and modular forms (Washington, DC, 1996).

[5] J. Coates. Fragments of the GL_2 Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves without complex multiplication. In *Arithmetic theory of elliptic curves (Cetraro, 1997)*, volume 1716 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 1–50. Springer, Berlin, 1997.

[6] J. Coates, P. Schneider and R. Sujatha. Links between cyclotomic and GL_2 Iwasawa theory. *Doc. Math.*, (Extra Vol.):187–215 (electronic), 2003. Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday.

[7] J. Coates and R. Sujatha. *Galois cohomology of elliptic curves*, volume 88 of *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics*. Published by Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, 2000.

[8] J. Coates, R. Sujatha and J.-P. Wintenberger. On the Euler-Poincaré characteristics of finite dimensional p-adic Galois representations. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (93):107–143, 2001.

[9] K.R. Goodearl and R.B. Warfield. *An Introduction to Noncommutative Noetherian Rings*, volume 16 of *L.M.S Student Texts*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989.

[10] R. Greenberg. Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves. 1716:51–144, 1999.

[11] Y. Hachimori and O. Venjakob. Completely faithful Selmer groups over Kummer extensions. *Doc. Math.*, (Extra Vol.):443–478 (electronic), 2003. Kazuya Kato's fiftieth birthday.

[12] G. Hochschild and J.-P. Serre. Cohomology of Lie algebras. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 57:591–603, 1953.

[13] H. Imai. A remark on the rational points of abelian varieties with values in cyclotomic Z_p-extensions. *Proc. Japan Acad.*, 51:12-16, 1975.

[14] M. Lazard. Groupes analytiques p-adiques. *Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci.*, (26):389–603, 1965.

[15] B. Perrin-Riou. Arithmétique des courbes elliptiques et théorie d'Iwasawa. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (17):130, 1984.

[16] M. Rapoport and Th. Zink. Über die lokale Zetafunktion von Shimuravarietäten. Monodromiefiltration und verschwindende Zyklen in ungleicher Charakteristik. *Invent. Math.*, 68(1):21–101, 1982.

[17] P. Schneider. p-adic height pairings. II. *Invent. Math.*, 79(2):329–374, 1985.

[18] J.-P. Serre. Sur la dimension cohomologique des groupes profinis. *Topology*, 3:413–420, 1965.

[19] J.-P. Serre. Propriétés galoisiennes des points d'ordre fini des courbes elliptiques. *Invent. Math.*, 15(4):259–331, 1972.

[20] J.-P. Serre. La distribution d'Euler-Poincaré d'un groupe profini. In *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry (Durham, 1996)*, volume 254 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 461–493. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1998.

[21] S.L. Zerbes. Selmer Groups over p-adic Lie Extensions I. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)*, 70(3):586–608, 2004.

[22] S.L. Zerbes. Selmer groups over p-adic Lie extensions. PhD thesis, University of Cambridge, 2005.

Department of Mathematics, Huxely Building, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ,

Current address: Department of Mathematics, Harrison Building, University of Exeter, Exeter EX4 4QF, UK

E-mail address: `s.zerbes@exeter.ac.uk`